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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor-in-chief,

Thank you for your comments on the manuscript "An overview of conducting systematic reviews with network meta-analysis". The following changes have been made:

1) At the end of the first paragraph of the section "The need for network meta-analysis in comparative effectiveness research" after "[20]" she may want to add something like "and likely problematic to interpret".

Author response: I have amended the sentence to read: "Such an approach makes effect estimates problematic to interpret and is not recommended [20]"

2) A couple of lines prior I'm not sure whether the "two" and "three" should be "2" and "3".

Author response: This has been corrected. The sentence now reads "To use results from options 2 and 3 the decision-maker must summarise across..."

3). On the last paragraph "as a final remark", could become "Finally".

Author response: This has been corrected.