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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor, Dear Reviewers
Thank you so much for your valuable comments.
I do accept your suggestions and comments and have tried to use them to upgrade and correct the paper.
These are my amendments and corrections:
Response to reviewer number 2
1. The results of the study conducted by Vanwanseele et al (2009) were added to the discussion.
2. In the introduction the references related to the sentences “Few studies that have investigated …” were added.
3. As another limitation of our study it is mentioned that: “The mechanical and anatomical axes were measured on the same radiograph. This means that there is no repositioning between the two measurements which may skew our results towards to a better correlation.”
4. In the discussion a distinction was made between the limitation of our study design and those of measurement techniques.
5. The discussion section is revised and a table comparing different studies has been added.
6. Because of very limited numbers of studies in the literatures which have addressed the validity of clinical methods for measuring knee alignment, we conducted this study to add data to this subject.
7. The written English is revised.

Thank you in advance for the time you will spend to read these corrections.

Respectfully Yours
L. Azhar Shekoufeh Bahari
Corresponding Author