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ABSTRACT

Background
Aerobic capacity (VO\textsubscript{2}max) is highly dependent upon body composition of an individual and body composition varies with ethnicity. The purpose of this study was to check the concurrent validity of the non-exercise prediction equation developed by Jackson and colleagues (1990) using percentage body fat as a variable in Asian Indian adults.

Methods
One hundred twenty college-aged participants (60 male, 60 female, mean age 22.02 ± 2.29 yrs) successfully completed a maximal graded exercise test (GXT) on a motorized treadmill to assess VO\textsubscript{2}max. VO\textsubscript{2}max was then estimated by the non-exercise prediction equation developed by Jackson and colleagues (1990) using percentage body fat. Percentage body fat was calculated by three different models (Sandhu et al’s fat mass equation, Durnin-womersley’s 4 site percentage body fat and Jackson & Pollock’s 4 site percentage body fat) and was used in the above equation. The results of VO\textsubscript{2}max obtained using “gold standard” treadmill methods were then compared with the three results of VO\textsubscript{2}max obtained by Jackson et al’s equation (using three different models to calculate percentage body fat) and it was determined which equation is best suited to determine percentage body fat and in turn VO\textsubscript{2} max for Indian population.

Results
Jackson et al’s prediction equation overpredicts VO\textsubscript{2}max in Asian Indian subjects who have a lower VO\textsubscript{2}max (33.41±14.39 ml/kg/min) than those reported in other age matched populations. Percentage body fats calculated by the three equations were significantly different and the correlation coefficient (r) between VO\textsubscript{2}max calculated by Jackson and colleagues (1990) using Sandhu et al’s equation for percentage body fat with VO\textsubscript{2} max calculated using treadmill (gold standard) (r=,817) was found slightly more significantly correlated than the other two equations and was not statistically different from the measured value.

Conclusions
This study proves that VO\textsubscript{2}max equation using Sandhu et al’s model for percentage body fat yields more accurate results than other studied equations in healthy college-aged participants in India.
BACKGROUND
Maximal oxygen uptake or consumption (VO\textsubscript{2max}) is the maximum capacity of an individual’s body to transport and use oxygen during incremental exercise which reflects the physical fitness of an individual.\(^{(1)}\) The measurement of VO\textsubscript{2max} as a parameter of cardio-respiratory fitness is useful when educating individuals about their overall fitness status, considering cardiovascular risk such as physical inactivity and overweight status\(^{(2)}\). Since this method involves the direct measurement of expired gas samples, it is considered highly reliable and accurate and is, thus considered the “gold standard” for determining VO\textsubscript{2max} \(^{(3)}\). This approach though is practically difficult, requires fairly expensive equipment and the assistance of trained personnel. Accurately measuring the VO\textsubscript{2max} involves a physical effort sufficient in duration and intensity to fullytax the aerobic system, the performance of which is influenced by the individual’s motivation. This intense effort makes the procedure difficult to perform because of which, some older or higher-risk individuals should not perform the test without medical supervision \(^{(4)}\).

As a result, researchers have generated various non-exercise based tests for predicting VO\textsubscript{2max} that utilize variables of physical parameters (viz. age, gender, height, weight, BMI, body fat percentage) \(^{(4)}\). There are very few valid models available which utilize percentage body fat as a determinant of VO\textsubscript{2max}. These models include those of Heil et al (1995)\(^{5}\) and Jackson et al (1990)\(^{6}\). Body composition is known to vary with ethnicity \(^{(7)}\). People of South Asian origin have a higher percentage of body fat for any given BMI while those of Black Caribbean origin have a lower percentage body fat for any given BMI, \(^{(8-11)}\) (c.f. Higgins,2008) \(^{(12)}\). Higher amounts of body fat and obesity are associated with increased risk of adverse health events and greater mortality \(^{(13)}\). Obesity has been defined by the American College of Sports Medicine \(^{(2)}\) as an excessive amount of adipose tissue, which is defined in young adults as body fat>25\(\%\) in males and >32\(\%\) in females.

Percentage of body fat is usually assessed by using skinfold thickness in clinical & field setting because this method is simple to use and low in cost \(^{(14)}\). Regression equations are used to calculate body composition (body density, percentage body fat) from these skinfold measurements \(^{(15)}\). However, as all these prediction equations are based on mathematical relationships, they predict body composition only if population resembles the reference population that was used in the development of the prediction equation \(^{(16)}\). Most of the existing equations or the estimation of body fat percentage for example Jackson and Pollock\(^{(6)}\) and Durnin and Womersley\(^{(26)}\) which are widely used in epidemiological studies using skinfolds have been developed and validated in the Caucasian population and tend to under predict body fat percentage in Asian Indians. Considering the ethnic differences in fat percentage in 2010, Sandhu et al \(^{(17)}\) developed a body fat mass prediction equation for young Indian adults using skinfold data and body fat percentage using under water weighing.

Several non-exercise prediction equations for the estimation of VO\textsubscript{2max} use percentage body fat in their formulation. Again these equations were also developed and validated in ethnic populations other than Indians. The ethnic differences in percentage body fat may make them unsuitable for use in Asian Indians. Jackson et al (1990)\(^{(6)}\) & Heil et al(1995)\(^{(5)}\) proposed prediction models which use body fat percentage of an individual in their formulation. These equations have been shown to be fairly reliable and valid. Researchers \(^{(18)}\) have checked the cross-validation of
non-exercise models (N-Ex) \(^{(6)}\) and have found it accurate for healthy adults and suggested its use in epidemiological studies. We believe that these N-Ex prediction equations may not be very accurate in the Asian Indian population because of the inherent differences in body compositions that exist in different races, specifically the fact that for a given BMI the percentage body fat in Asian Indians is higher \(^{(19)}\) and hence a limited sub maximal aerobic capacity and reduced \(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\) relative to body weight \(^{(20)}\). Jackson \(^{(6)}\) equation has been widely used in epidemiological studies. Since it utilizes body fat percentage in its formulation, our study was designed with the objective of trying to examine the correlation between lab measurement of \(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\) and the \(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\) calculated through \(^{(6)}\) NEX prediction equation. As it incorporates an individual’s body fat percentage we decided to use the body fat percentage calculated through 3 methods and analyse which of these predicted \(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\) values more closely to the lab measured \(\text{VO}_2\text{max}\). Lastly we wanted to analyze the validity of this non-exercise prediction equation using body fat percentage calculated through the above methods.

**METHODS**

**Participants and procedures**

One hundred twenty college students (males = 60; females = 60), aged 18 to 27yrs, satisfied the requirements of this study. Volunteers, recruited for the study, were primarily the students of Department of Sports Medicine & Physiotherapy, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab India. Criteria for inclusion in the study were, participants who were non athletic, but who occasionally participated in recreational activities, who were able to perform exercise, with no prior history of cardiovascular, peripheral vascular, respiratory diseases, malignancy, orthopaedic or musculoskeletal lesion. Subjects should have been non smokers, non alcoholic, not taking any medications and non diabetics. All participants signed an informed consent document in accordance with University ethical Committee guidelines.

**Non exercise questionnaires and physical measurements**

Prior to testing, all subjects completed:

*brief Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q)\(^{(21)}\) to screen for cardiovascular contraindications.

*Physical Activity Recall (PA-R) questionnaire (0-7 scale). \(^{(6)}\)

**The Physical Activity Rating (PAR) was determined as follows:**

The participant was asked to give the appropriate PAR score (0–7) based on the following scale:

I. Does not participate regularly in programmed recreation, sport, or physical activity.
   0 points: Avoids walking or exercise (for example, always uses elevators, drives whenever possible instead of walking).
   1 point: Walks for pleasure, routinely uses stairs, occasionally exercises sufficiently to cause heavy breathing or perspiration.

II. Participates regularly in recreation or work requiring modest physical activity (such as golf, horseback riding, calisthenics, gymnastics, table tennis, bowling, weight lifting, or yard work).
   2 points: 10–60 minutes per week
   3 points: Over 1 hour per week

III. Participates regularly in heavy physical exercise (such as running or jogging, swimming, cycling, rowing, skipping rope, running in place) or engages in vigorous aerobic type activity (such as tennis, basketball, or handball).
4 points: Runs less than 1 mile per week or spends less than 30 minutes per week in comparable physical activity.
5 points: Runs 1–5 miles per week or spends 30–60 minutes per week in comparable physical activity.
6 points: Runs 5–10 miles per week or spends 1–3 hours per week in comparable physical activity.
7 points: Runs more than 10 miles per week or spends more than 3 hours per week in comparable physical activity.

Four-site skinfold measures were evaluated for females and males (biceps, triceps, subscapularis, and suprailiac) using Harpenden caliper to determine percent body fat (percentage fat).

Participants were instructed to drink plenty of water and abstain from strenuous exercise for 24 hr prior to testing, and not to consume food, alcohol, caffeine, or tobacco products three hours before testing\(^2\). Each participant was fitted with a HR monitor (Polar Inc., NY) to measure exercise HR during the maximal GXT on a calibrated motor driven treadmill (Vacumed Vista MX, California, USA). Participants’ height and body mass were measured and recorded while wearing lightweight clothing and no shoes, using a stadiometer and calibrated digital weight scale(model:EB-EQ90,equinox overseas (P) Ltd., India). Instructions about the maximal GXT (involving the protocol, electronic heart rate monitor, mouth piece, and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) scale\(^22\) were given to all participants prior to testing. Also participants were allowed to practice treadmill walking/jogging as needed to become familiar with the equipment.

**Maximal Treadmill Graded Exercise Test**
Participants performed a maximal GXT using Bruce graded GXT protocol\(^23\) consists of seven stages. Each stage comprised of 3 mins. During the maximal GXT, metabolic gases were collected using the Vacumed Vista MX metabolic measurement system (California, USA). The VO\(_2\)max and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were computed, averaged, and printed by an online computer system every 10 seconds. The participants’ exercise heart rate (HR) and RPE score was recorded at the end of each stage. VO\(_2\)max was considered valid when at least two of the following criteria were met\(^4\):

1. Maximal heart rate within 15 beats of age predicted maximal heart rate.\(^{24}\)
2. Respiratory exchange ratio equal to or greater than 1.10.\(^{25}\)
3. RPE equal to or greater than 17.
4. The subject did not show any increase in oxygen consumption even after increasing the intensity of exercise.

Participants who failed to meet at least two of these criteria were dropped from the study.

Body fat percentage was then calculated by using three different formulae i.e.

1. Sandhu et al’s fat mass equation\(^{17}\) (S’s eqn)
2. Durnin-Womersley’s 4 site percentage body fat\(^{26}\) (D-W ‘s eqn)
3. Jackson & Pollock’s 4 site percentage body fat\(^{27}\). (J-Ps eqn)

The calculated body fat percentage was utilized in the estimation of VO2max by using Jackson et al’s\(^{16}\) prediction equation i.e.
N-Ex VO\(_2\)max using percentageF = 50.513 + 1.589 (history of physical activity 0-7) – 0.289 (age) – 0.552 (percentageF) + 5.863 (gender 0-1).

STATISTICS
Three different formulae for the calculation of body fat percentage for males and females were applied and thus three different values of the same for both males and females were obtained. ANOVA was applied to test for the statistical significance between these values followed by Scheffe’s post hoc test. Then VO\(_2\)max was calculated by substituting these body fat percentages in Jackson et al’s (1990) \(^{(6)}\) equation. Again ANOVA was applied to the VO\(_2\)max calculated through different percentage body fat followed by post hoc Scheffe’s test. Inter-class correlation matrix was also used on the calculated VO\(_2\) max (through substituting percentage body fats) to test the correlation between the measured VO\(_2\)max and the calculated VO\(_2\)max.

RESULTS
Table I shows the mean values and standard deviations of the VO\(_2\) max obtained by a graded exercise treadmill test in the lab indicated as VO\(_2\)max (lab) ; the VO\(_2\)max obtained by substituting body fat percentage value obtained by Jackson and Pollock’s equation(JP eqn) for estimation of body fat through skinfolds indicated as VO\(_2\)max(J-JP); the VO\(_2\) max obtained by substituting body fat percentage value obtained from Durnin and Womerslay’s equation(DWs eqn) \(^{(26)}\) for estimation of body fat percentage indicated as VO\(_2\) max(J-DW); and the VO\(_2\) max obtained by substituting body fat percentage obtained from Sandhu et al’s \(^{(17)}\) body fat equation(Ss eqn) for the estimation of fat mass indicated as VO\(_2\) max(J-S). This table indicates that VO\(_2\) max (J-S) gives a mean value (36.05+_6.04 ml/kg/min) which is closest to the measured VO\(_2\) max (mean value of 33.41+_14.39 ml/kg/min) as compared to VO\(_2\)max (J-JP) and VO\(_2\)max (J-DW) which clearly overestimate the VO\(_2\) max in our subjects. ANOVA values indicated in Table II further highlight the fact that the differences between the values for VO\(_2\) max obtained from the 3 equations and measured lab value are statistically significant. Post hoc analysis using Scheffe’s test in Table III shows that the difference between VO\(_2\)max (lab) and VO\(_2\)max (J-S) is not statistically significant (p=0.226) but the differences between VO\(_2\)max (lab) and VO\(_2\)max(J-JP) and VO\(_2\)max(J-DW) are statistically significant(p<0.0001). The application of Inter class correlation matrix (Table IV) further indicates that VO\(_2\) max (J-S) is slightly more correlated to the measured VO\(_2\) max than the others. Table II also indicates the analysis of variance for percentage body fat. The “F” value 191.103 is highly significant. The application of post hoc Scheffe’s test indicates that all 3 body fat percentages were significantly different (p<0.05) 

DISCUSSION
In this study we measured the VO\(_2\)max by means of a GXT in college aged participants in India. This study was conducted to check the validity of Jackson et al’s \(^{(6)}\) VO\(_2\)max prediction equation using percentage body fat as a variable. An earlier study \(^{(20)}\) has indicated that obese individuals have a reduced (VO\(_2\)max) relative to body weight thus indicating that percentage body fat has a significant effect on the aerobic capacity (VO\(_2\)max) of an individual. Secondary to this is the fact that body
composition varies with ethnicity. (7) Recent evidence in the field of body composition has indicated that racial differences may exist in body composition. Specifically, researchers (28) have indicated that Asians had more subcutaneous fat than whites and had different fat distributions from whites. Asians had more upper-body subcutaneous fat than whites. Researchers (28) have also indicated that BMI for given weight under predicts the percentage body fat in Asian Indians. A study (29) has indicated that for the same BMI, percentage BF for Pacific Island men was 4 percentage points lower and for Asian Indian men was 7–8 percentage points higher compared to Europeans. Compared to European men for the same percentage BF, BMI was 2–3 units higher for Pacific Island and 3–6 units lower for Asian Indians. Asian Indians have more abdominal fat deposition than their European and Pacific Island counterparts. Considering this evidence we believed that prediction equations for the estimation of VO$_2$max would be inaccurate and substituting percentage body fat values obtained from formulae developed specifically for Asian Indians would be better correlated to lab measured values of VO$_2$max.

Our results indicated that our Asian Indian subjects indeed did have lower VO$_2$max values as compared to age matched subjects from Caucasian populations indicating a lower level of fitness. Specifically we recorded a mean value of 33.41±14.39 ml/kg/min which is much lower than those recorded by other investigators in age matched subjects (Heil et al (5): 45.83±6.57 ml/kg/min and Bradshaw et al (21): 45.17±7.59 ml/kg/min). We believe the lower levels could be because of two reasons. Firstly as reported by previous investigations our subjects did indeed have greater amounts of fat mass for a given weight as compared to other ethnicities which would indeed hamper fitness and not contribute to metabolic activity. Secondly is observation that in general South Asians have lower levels of physical fitness than their European counterparts (30,31). Reasons for this have been suggested to a more restricted range of sporting and leisure activities than Europeans.

We also found that Jackson et al's (6) predictive equation overestimated the VO$_2$max compared to the measured value irrespective of which of the three studied equations was used to estimate the fat percentage of subjects. However of the three studied equations we found that substituting fat percentage values obtained from Sandhu et al's (17) fat mass equation yielded a VO$_2$max value that was closer to the measured value as compared to the other two equations. This better correlation observed could be due to the fact that the indigenously developed body fat mass equation generated by Sandhu et al., 2010 (17) used actual values of body fat measured using the “gold standard” under water weighing method in its generation and the fact that it was developed on Asian Indian subjects increased its accuracy in this population.

Earlier researchers have proved that Durnin- Womerslay’s (26) equation, which was derived using under-water weighing method, over estimate body fat and percentage body fat in populations of developing countries (32) yet it is widely used in many epidemiological studies. Similarly Jackson and Pollock’s (6) equation also developed in Caucasian populations is also used worldwide for the estimation of body fat percentage.

Our study has many implications. Despite the fact that we had delimited our study to the investigation of only one predictive equation, our study suggests that prediction equations may not be very accurate when used in populations other than those on whom they were generated. Hence they need to be used with caution in other ethnic populations. Though this study indicates that when fat percentage is determined, Sandhu et al's (17) equation is used, the value for VO$_2$ max is slightly more reliable than the other two. However, further study regarding its validity when it is used in
prediction equation is warranted. Therefore, validation of Sandhu et al’s \cite{17} equation and cross validation of Durnin-Womerslay’s \cite{26} body fat equation is warranted.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

Our results suggest caution in the use of Jackson et al’s \cite{6} equation in Indian population given the observation that it tends to over predict the VO$_2$max in Asian Indian subjects. Similarly the validity of equations for the measurement of percentage body fat is also questionable when used in this population. Thus our study supports the development and validation of population specific prediction equations for the estimation of both body fat and VO$_2$max.
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TABLES:

**Table 1:** VO\(_2\) max values obtained by the substitution of body fat values obtained by different formulae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD ml/kg/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. VO(_2) max (Lab)</td>
<td>33.41±14.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. VO(_2) max (J-JP)</td>
<td>43.25 ±7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. VO(_2) max (J-DW)</td>
<td>40.47±8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. VO(_2) max (J-S)</td>
<td>36.05±6.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II- Analysis of Variances (ANOVA) for VO\(_2\) max and percentage Body fat from Jackson Pollock, Durnin Womersley and Sandhu**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. VO(_2) Max</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>6977.761</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2325.920</td>
<td>24.343</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>45480.583</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>95.547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52458.345</td>
<td>479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. percentage Body Fat</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>8237.445</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4118.722</td>
<td>191.103</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>3814.765</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>21.552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table III: Post Hoc Test Scheffe’s for VO2max(lab), VO2max (J-JP), VO2max (J-DW) and VO2max (J-S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (lab)</td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>-13.3742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (J-JP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>-10.6037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2 max (J-JP)</td>
<td>.226</td>
<td>-6.1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (J-DW)</td>
<td>.187</td>
<td>-.7698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>3.6554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (J-DW)</td>
<td>.007*</td>
<td>.8849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2 max (J-DW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2max (J-S)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.000*</td>
<td>-6.1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.007*</td>
<td>.8849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

---

## Table IV
**Inter class correlation between VO2max(lab), VO2max (J-JP), VO2max (J-DW) and VO2max (J-S)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VO2max (lab)</th>
<th>VO2max (J-JP)</th>
<th>VO2max (J-DW)</th>
<th>VO2max (J-S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VO2max</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.807</td>
<td>.814</td>
<td>.817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>