Reviewer's report

Title: Classification of the midfoot; neutral, low arched or high arched

Version: 1 Date: 21 June 2011

Reviewer: RobRoy L Martin

Reviewer's report:

Overall the authors are commended for addressing the reviewers’ comments to create a much improved revised manuscript. The methods and results I think are clear and do not require any substantial changes. However the introduction and discussion need to be revised.

The introduction currently looks like one large paragraph that does not flow well. While I believe it contains of the necessary information it should be rewritten. I suggest the Introduction contain a total of 3 or 4 paragraphs. The first paragraph should clearly outline the problems or questions and their importance. The second and third should provide a brief rational for each question. The fourth paragraph should state the hypothesis or purpose.

The Discussion likewise needs to be re-written. Similar to the Introduction I believe it contains all of the necessary information. However, the Discussion currently contains a number of one sentence paragraphs that do not flow well together. I suggest the opening paragraph of the Discussion should restate the problem or question with a brief summary of findings. The second paragraph should outline limitations of the study. The paragraphs that follow should then integrate the findings of this current study with available literature.

With these changes, the manuscript should be a nice contribution to the current literature.

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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