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Reviewer’s report:

1) The paper would improve by using the system to analyze one or two items. E.g. by comparing two subgroups (Primary and Revision) of TAA the system can demonstrate that a difference can be really figured out.
2) Why has the author choosen the AOFAS Score for reporting?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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