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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor
	hanks for the much appreciated opportunity to be a referee for your journal.
The article from Peltokorpi et al. entitled "International benchmarking of tertiary trauma centers: productivity and throughput approach" submitted for consideration on Journal of Trauma Management & Outcomes to my opinion is an interesting comparison between two systems of trauma care.
The article may be an useful tool for improving Trauma Systems in several countries and settings.
However I suggest the authors to make the following Revisions in order to improve the article and data presentation:

Minor Essential Revisions
1) The introduction section is extensive but is even too long and draws the attention of the reader away from focusing the Background and Aims of the study. A shorter and more effective presentation of Background and Aims is advisable
2) References are not up to date and several of them are back from the '90s. Therefore before making recommendations based on these references, the literature should be more extensively analysed.
3) English grammar needs revisions from an english mother tongue reviewer

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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