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Author's response to reviews:

The Biomed Central Editorial Team
Thank you for consideration of our manuscript for publication in your journal We have reviewed the above manuscript according to your reviewer’s comments

Referee 2:
My only comment would be that the authors should explain why interval cholecystectomy was not performed. It was only mentioned that patient was high risk but the reason should be clarified if possible

> A colecistectomy was not undertaken because the surgeons considered too risky to perform another surgery procedure during the period of intestinal occlusion, especially after an intervention of intestinal resection. Their strategy has been to follow the patient and to reschedule the intervention when patient’s condition were safer. We agree with the referee that the decision is debatable since the patient was young and without severe comorbidities. However, this was the decision taken by the surgeons and not under our control.

The authors don’t think this aspect is relevant for the article, but they are ready to include this in the article if the referee is asking so.

Referee 1
No comments were done

As requested we have removed any patient’s details from the figures files

Best regards
Alessandro Pezzoli