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Author's response to reviews: see over
Point by point response to the concerns of the reviewers.

Referee number 1 doesn’t have any suggestions to the paper.

Referee number 2 highlighted this concerns:

1. Has the case been reported coherently?: No.
   About this point we have made a whole re-edition of the manuscript.

2. Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes.

Reviewer mentioned that there was no followup one and three months after discharge.
We address this point in the discussion section.

Other comments:

a) There does appear to be an association between the Clindamycin and the pancytopenia. However, the authors fail to mention an alternative hypothesis for the patient's pancytopenia which may have been the disease process. Thus causing immunosuppression due to the infectious nature alone.
We believe that is difficult that an acute tonsillar or anal process can produce myelospression, however we mentioned about this association as a possibility.
In the penultimate paragraph of the discussion and in the conclusion states that the mechanisms responsible for the appearance of different cytopenias with the use of clindamycin are unknown. We call attention to that there is no doubt that injury was morphologically demonstrable in bone marrow cells. The injury was recovered according to the immediate improvement of all the cellular elements of the peripheral blood when the drug was discontinued, peg-filgrastim was administered and tonsillar infection was adequately controlled.
This elements can establish a relationship between clindamycin and pancytopenia and supports the possibility that infection could contribute to this state.
b) Before publicaiton the English grammar throughout the manuscript needs improvement.
c) Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited.

We fully re-edited the whole manuscript and put special attention to English grammar.