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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The authors try to describe ‘Congenital Renal Arteriovenous Malformation’ which is nothing new although interesting.

Abstract

In the abstract section, few clinical features related to the case have to be added. The authors should not try to mention that this is the first case, so on....... This can be mentioned in the text, later on.

Keywords

They are defective. The authors have to check it from the MeSH database.

Introduction
Please add 1 or 2 references in the introduction section. You may cite some reference related to AV malformation.

Critical comments
Please add more text on the anatomical sites of the AVM in the body. Please include references:
3. What was the most important aspect in the present case which clinicians should be aware of?
4. What are the most common diseases which can mimic the present case. Discuss it in detail.
5. Discuss all the other uncommon AVMs e.g. Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations presenting as difficult-to-control asthma: a case report- Marta Navratil et al., Journal of Medical Case Reports 2013, 7:32 doi:10.1186/1752-1947-7-32
6. The authors have to check the style of referencing both in the text as well at the end. Please follow a sample published article.
7. Any history of blood related disorder in the family of the patient? Please mention.
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