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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is a case report of intraparenchymal meningioma which might pose diagnostic challenge. Essential information was contained in the manuscript but I’d appreciate if the CT image can also be included for completion sake.

In addition, a number of amendments are needed before final publication

Typo
1. Literature review, P.5, Line 9 “…….insufficient data und cases……”
2. Discussion, P.6, Line 9 “…… and within the region within the Sylvian fissure are……”
3. Figure legend: Figure 6 “…… horl structures……”
4. Table 1: “Sold mass” ? solid mass

Grammar
1. Case presentation, P.3, Line 2 “…… no neurological deficits were present.”

Format
1. Case presentation, P.4, Line 18 “……(at low power (5x objectives)___”. A close bracket is needed.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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