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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Local practice variation in management of an uncommon (but not rare) obstetric complication

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This Case Report describes a condition which is fairly common and previously reported. The quality of the case summary and discussion are fairly good, but the interest (as described by the authors) comes from a peculiarity to Japanese medicine (common practice of early cesarean delivery in the setting of placental abruption) which would not be of general interest to an international audience (to whom the concept of decision on mode of delivery by maternal condition/stability,
with a preference for facilitated vaginal delivery, would be common practice). I’d therefore suggest resubmission of the report for publication in a local/regional journal.
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