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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: No

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

The manuscript is for an interesting finding that has been reported before. There is no evidence that what was seen in the soft tissue is heterotopic bone formation since it can be dystrophic bone formation which is more commonly associated with neoplastic tissue. The CT scan images did not support the presence of such condition as well as the bone scintigraphy which showed multiple foci of non specific uptake. Additionally no pathologic confirmation differentiation heterotopic bone formation from dystrophic calcification. Some articles clarifying the difference and discussing the scintigraphic features of heterotopic bone formation and other types of soft tissue calcification and missing from the reference list and could have been useful. An example of such articles is: Shehab D, Elgazzar AH, Collier BD. Heterotopic ossification. Journal of Nuclear Medicine; 43:346-453, 2002
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