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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

reported as rare case - but already 80 cases reported

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

as mentioned in your paper 80 cases have been reported, so this is 81st. can't see anything new from previous reports
As you have mentioned, its already a accepted/known entity as per WHO, is there anything different happened in this case compared to other 80 cases.
this is more of historical diagnosis - need detailed description of histology - could see some in legends but not in the content.
definitely language needs to be improved like chemotherapy has not been undergone, sex ratio - is it M:F or F:M - needs mentioning even if it is obvious. we opted ' - who - is it surgeons or patient etc.
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