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Title: Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the bladder: a case report.

Version: 3
Date: 9 September 2014

Reviewer: gokul vignesh kanda swamy

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

rare case

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Thanks for writing back. I had only mentioned few corrections suggesting you to look into. There is still a lot. To name a FEW

Uppercase in reference,

**References have been revised as recommended**

Our patient didn’t have chemotherapy nor neoadjuvant or adjuvant - It should read as neither neoadjuvant nor adjuvant.

**This phrase is corrected**
In discussion it says - The clinical presentation of LELC is not different from Urothelial ca, but in conclusion it says It has no clinical features in relation with Urothelial tumours.

This phrase has been removed from conclusion to avoid this contradiction.

My message - given nay 80 cases nobody can give a strong evidence for any treatment. Definitely outcome of this one case cannot overrule whatever low evidence we have so far. So please reconsider the message you are giving.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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