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Reviewer’s report

Title: Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the bladder: a case report.

Version: 2 Date: 10 August 2014

Reviewer: Santosh Waigankar

Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected association between diseases or symptoms

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Good Attempt. Though more research is needed.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interest

In this article we discussed the issue of optimal treatment of this histological type with muscle invasion, which is not discussed before. Also there is no answer in the literature for this question. Should we continue to treat radically or try to be more organ conservative.
Reviewer's report

Title: Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the bladder: a case report.

Version: 2
Date: 2 August 2014

Reviewer: gokul vignesh kanda swamy

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:
reported as rare case - but already 80 cases reported

No evidence exists about optimal treatment for this histological type

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

as mentioned in your paper 80 cases have been reported, so this is 81st.
can't see anything new from previous reports

As you have mentioned, its already a accepted/known entity as per WHO,
is there anything different happened in this case compared to other 80 cases.
this is more of historical diagnosis - need detailed description of histology - could
see some in legends but not in the content. Definitely language needs to be improved like chemotherapy has not been undergone, sex ratio - is it M:F or F:M - needs mentioning even if it is obvious.

we opted ' - who - is it surgeons or patient etc.

Required modifications were done.

« chemotherapy has not been undergone »

Was replaced by:

« Our patient didn’t have chemotherapy nor neoadjuvant or adjuvant. »

« Sex ratio (M : F) »