Reviewer’s report

Title: Bilateral ureteral obstruction revealing a benign prostatic hypertrophy: one case report and literature review

Version: 1 Date: 16 September 2013

Reviewer: Thorsten H. Ecke

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

If other, please specify:

This CR is written quite nice. It is a very common case, it is possible to see such cases from time to time in urological practice. The Pictures are nice, but it is nothing special.

When ureteral obstruction is due to prostate enlargement, why the Patient was treated by JJ??

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This CR is written quite nice. It is a very common case, it is possible to see such cases from time to time in urological practice. The Pictures are nice, but it is nothing special.
When ureteral obstruction is due to prostate enlargement, why the Patient was treated by JJ?

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published