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Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is a beautiful clinical case and very good treated but I think it is desirable to include epidemiological aspects in the introduction, the same that it could be desirable to include briefly some data derived from the following literature:
- Steinbock et al, J Endod. 2014
- Demircioglu Guler et al, Case Report Dent. 2013
- Oelgiesser D et al. Quintessence Int. 2013

The absence of this literature not means a defect in the paper but I think that it could be help to improve the quality.

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?
- Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease
- Other

If other please specify
This is a good example to present the coordination of different dental specialities for a disease treatment.

Has the case been reported coherently?
- Yes. On my personal point of view, this paper has been elaborated from the general to the particular in the sense of begin with a short etiopathogenic introduction and showing the case problem from the diagnostic to the ending of the treatment.

Is the case report authentic?
I don’t know, but clinical and radiological images are coherent.

Is the case report ethical?
- Yes. Authors guarantee the existence of a patient manuscript in which is written the permission to publish the paper.

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?
- Yes. In the introduction, I think it is necessary to include references about epidemiological aspects (racial aspects…), also, some bibliographic references as, for example the following:
  - Steinbock et al, J Endod.2014
  - Demircioglu Guler et al, Case Report Dent. 2013
  - Oelgiesser D et al. Quintessence Int. 2013

In clinical case presentation, it would be useful an explanation about the provisional crowns treatment duration and the material type used in the permanent crowns (ceramic…).

In the figure legends, figure 4, I consider that it could be interesting to say that it is an apical radiolucid lesion, and if it is possible with a presumptive diagnostic, considering that the journal is guided to medical professionals, generally no dentist, and so this would be easier to read for them.

As Minor issues, page 4, paragraph 1, where is written figure 4 is figure 7 (in figures and legends it is OK). In the same page, paragraph 2, where is written
crows it would say crowns.

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?
- Yes because it is not usually the coordination of different dental specialties to obtain a conservative result with a good function.
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