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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

English needs to be improved.

Design of the nail to be discussed in detail.

case 1: In a stable 31 A 1.3 fracture in short obese patient what was the need to use an intramedullary device. A DHS could have been used.

case 2,3,4 could the fracture had been avoided if other implant like PFNA2 were used which is specifically designed for the asian femora.
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