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Case 1. Define the second entry position for nail insertion. Generally in the two entry position becomes a large one entry. Did you observed this in this case.

Case 2.
Line 3. “We opened the proximal femur and inserted a 12.5mm entry reamer to the lesser trochanter.” It should be changed with ‘grater trochanter'

Case 3. Why did you observed the iatrogenic fracture? Define the reason.

Case 4.
Line 4: “pin” should be changed with “nail”
When fracture was observed. The sentences should be re-ordered.
The solution for fracture should be stated.

Discussion.

Line 3. From the inception of dynamic hip screw (DHS), such fixtures have become the gold standard for the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures [5-7]. After this statement you should argue the indication of intramedullary nails. The superiorities and inferiorities.

The manuscript should be corrected by native English speaker.

**Has the case been reported coherently?** Yes

**Is the case report authentic?** Yes

**Is the case report ethical?** Yes

**Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?** Yes
Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes
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Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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