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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Some corrections improved the manuscript, but more revision is required before decision of publication.

1. Discuss differential diagnosis of the eggs more. How authors differentiate them from eggs of Heterophyid flukes?

2. I recommend to use the term of the eggs: "opisthorchid eggs" and discuss the possibility of Clonorchis and Opisthorchis sp.

3. The Giemsa or Ziehl-Neesen staining is not helpful for morphological diagnosis of the small operculated eggs. That should be discussed.
4. Describe date of diagnosis of patients.
5. There are too many typos in the text and wrong information of references. Authors should check more carefully.
6. Scale bar is required in the figures. I recommend two eggs in one figure. example) Figure 1. A & B
7. Recommend language editing.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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