Author's response to reviews

Title: A rare presentation of traumatic wound on the lower lip: Case Report

Authors:

Jaume Miranda-Rius (jmiranda-rius@ub.edu)
Lluís Brunet-Llobet (lbrunet@hsjdbcn.org)
Eduard Lahor-Soler (eduardlahor@ub.edu)
Carlos Mendieta (cmendieta@ub.edu)

Version: 4 Date: 16 June 2014

Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Reviewers,

Thank you for your invitation to improve this manuscript. The authors appreciate your comments. The previous version has been modified according to your suggestions.

I hope that this new version meets the criteria for publication in your journal.

Yours faithfully,

Jaume Miranda-Rius, MD, DDS, PhD

Barcelona, June 2014
In the main text, all the changes have also been highlighted in yellow colour.

In the review report, the removed parts have been highlighted in red colour.

**Referee comments - Mahesh Kumar**

“This is an interesting case but not rare. Change title”

The authors have considered your suggestion and we would like to propose changing the expression “A Rare…” by “An Unexpected Presentation of a Traumatic Wound…” as follows:

**An unexpected presentation of a traumatic wound on the lower lip: Case Report**

“Typing Error in Discussion: …good healing”

It has been corrected: …good healing

“Typing Error in Figure 1… Notice the severe…”

It has been corrected: Figure 1… Notice the severe…”

**Referee comments - Magi Farre**

“Just some comments about Case presentation. Page 4. Please explain antibiotics used (name, Doses, duration)”

The authors have implemented this information in the text.

Postoperative medical treatment included oral analgesics (ibuprofen 400mg, 8 hourly for 5 days) and broad spectrum oral antibiotics coverage (amoxicillin 500mg, 8 hourly for 5 days in combination with metronidazol 500mg, 8 hourly for 5 days).

“Typing Error in Discussion: …good healing”

It has been corrected: …good healing

“Typing Error in Figure 1… Notice the severe…”

It has been corrected: Figure 1… Notice the severe…”

“References. In some, the year of the publication is duplicate (see 3, 7, 9, 15)”

The references have been revised and these duplications have been emended.