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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Rare case treated in a minimal way

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

A well-written case report.
1. It would be better to have some more figures:
   a. Intraoperative, b. macroscopic of the specimen
2. Discussion need two more paragraphs:

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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