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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Thank you for submitting the revised manuscript entitled “Intra-articular angioleiomyoma of the knee with an atypical finding of Gd-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: a case report”. First of all, I would like to thank you and your co-authors for the considerable efforts you have made with regard to revision of your manuscript. The concerns in the previous manuscript seem to have been adequately addressed, but there are still some deficiencies that were not properly or sufficiently corrected.

In “Abstract”, “Conclusion” has been well changed. However, it does not seem to be satisfactorily done in the body text. In general, it is funny that the content of conclusion of the body text is less (volume) than that of “Abstract”. 
In addition, English is still problematic in some parts. There are sorts of incomprehensible expressions, grammatical errors and so on. It is alight if it can be corrected by the publisher during proofreading.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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