Reviewer's report

Title: Intra-articular angioleiomyoma of the knee with an atypical finding of Gd-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: a case report

Version: 1
Date: 23 February 2014
Reviewer: Tadashi Tanaka

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes
Is the case report authentic?: Yes
Is the case report ethical?: Yes
Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No
Is this case worth reporting?: Yes
Is the case report persuasive?: Yes
Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes
Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes
Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes
Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

General comments
The manuscript entitled “Intra-articular angioleiomyoma of the knee with an atypical finding of Gd-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: a case report” seeks to report a rare case of angioleiomyoma that showed unusual MRI findings. There was a considerable interest in this paper, but the reviewer has concluded that it cannot be accepted in its current form unless the authors respond to the reviewer’s comments in a revised version of the manuscript.

Specific comments
Abstract, Conclusions: the reviewer feels that the content of “Conclusions” is rather inadequate. The sentences of “After the surgery, the patient,,,,,an atypical
finding of Gd-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging” seem to be in “Case Presentation”. The reviewer is wondering if the conclusions might be something like: (1) a rare type of angioleiomyoma exists, (2) the lesion may reveal atypical finding of MRI, but it can help the diagnosis,,,,. Please clarify the authors’ opinion and revise it if necessary.

Discussion, 4th -3rd lines to the last: it is a little incomprehensible. Please check the sentence of “,,,,,with recurrence of a case not being reported in the literature”

Please also check English grammar in terms of use of definite and indefinite articles or other points for more sophisticated manuscript.

References, [6]: The names of the authors are wrong. They should be “Okubo Y, Kotake K,”.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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