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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected association between diseases or symptoms

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Overall I think the case report is well written, easy to follow, and brings up an important differential to include in a common patient presentation.

There is a minor change that I think would give a little better flow to the 1st paragraph of the discussion. In the sentence "Therefore, blood and urine histoplasma antigen detection, which has a rapid turnaround time and 92% sensitivity for disseminated infection, has become the diagnostic test of choice [1]." there is no previous discussion of the advantages of urine and blood antigen testing. If worded as …" Blood and urine histoplasma antigen detection, has a rapid turnaround time and 92% sensitivity for disseminated infection and has become the diagnostic test of choice [1]." the point is made in a more fluid manner.
Quality of written English: Acceptable
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