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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is not very clear the patient has a pacemaker, a MRI was not done, but in the next paragraph appears a MRCP?
The patient has an ERCP and an endoscopic ultrasonography?
The results are briefly presented-number of days of hospitalization, the length of the surgical procedure, blood loss
Some more details about the surgical technique.
The discussions-the part with the history of these tumors and their treatment has the place in the introduction. The discussions are used to present the literature reported specifically to this case.
The conclusions are the general ideas of the literature. They have to be the conclusions for this case.
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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