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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I read and review this report with great pleasure. The report is very well-written and comprehensive. The available of long-term follow-up data is another merit. Overall, the language is precise and fluent.

The only minor information that would make the report more complete is the information on the concurrent medication ie Is the patient on any long-term treatment for previous rheumatoid arthritis? Why her breast cancer was not treated promptly and why chemotherapy, apparently quicker treatment response, was not considered?

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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