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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Your case report is rare in cardiology. But you should correct same minor revisions;
1- full title should be shortened.
2- All of the grammatical errors should be corrected.
3- All of the abbreviates were corrected. you did not use abbreviate correctly.
4- you mentioned in introduction primary prcutaneous intervention but the case non-st eleve myocardial infarction. this dilemma must be clarify.
5- What does ABD mean?
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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