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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

- Conclusion part is not relevant to the case. In this part, the clinical importance of case report is suggested.

There are some other case reports regarding MIDD in literature. Please refer to some published articles in literature related to your study. For example


In discussion, authors should focus on the other reports of de novo MIDD in kidney transplant and compared with present case regarding clinicopathological features and therapy.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published