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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Interesting case report.

However, it is not so clear how and why the torsion happened in this patient. Is it possible that torsion of the fallopian tube in this case was a consequence of preexisting fimbrial cyst? This looks more likely when assessing the figures attached, particularly fig 1. If this was a case, hydrosalpinx might be a consequence rather than cause of torsion. Explanation and discussion of other possible causes, including the listed, would make presented case more interesting and more understandable not making it less interesting for readers. I must say that I found mechanism of torsion with hydrosalpinx presented on fig 2 very difficult. This information should be at least considered in discussion and added before publication.
Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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