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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I think the case should be titled as "Isolated Fallopian tube torsion with hydrosalpinx" this make it as a case report instead of mentioning the procedure of Neosalpingostomy.

There are a limitations in the manuscript which need fulfilment:

You didn't mention the histopathological result.

You didn't mention anything about the other non invasive modalities for management of such case, specially laproscopy.

The overall description of the case and the discussion are so limited, and I think you have to expand the case presentation and the discussion to some extent.
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests:
'I declare that I have no competing interests'