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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected association between diseases or symptoms

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

I think the case report is worth reporting but three points described below should be revised before publication.

(1) The authors showed normal gastric tissues stained with anti-ADH antibody as negative control, but tissue from gastric adenocarcinoma patient not presenting SIADH is more appropriate as negative control. If the control IgG is available, gastric tissue from the presented case stained with control IgG is also appropriate as negative control.

(2) As the authors described in the report, there are very few reports showing association between SIADH and gastric cancer. That means gastric adenocarcinoma presenting SIADH is very rare. If so, the author's conclusion...
that "gastric cancer should be included as a differential diagnosis of cancer with SIADH" seems not proper conclusion.

(3) Mistakes about English diminish the value of this report. Please check English again carefully. The very first sentence in the report is already wrong.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published