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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Unusual Anatomical variation

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is an interesting case of possible abnormal appearance of superior turbinate. However it is difficult to judge any nasal pathology based on one section and one view. I highly recommend the authors to review and present more than one consequent view of this superior turbinate in coronal section as well as in axial section, otherwise their finding may be questionable.

Also the authors pointed to abnormal shape middle turbinate, in the figure its first part looks to me as it originated from the lateral nasal wall rather than skull base, this also needs to be justified.
Also if the authors have endoscopic view of this turbinate and its relation to the middle turbinate will be great, otherwise it could be abnormal shape of uncinate process and giant middle rather than superior turbinate
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