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Mar 6, 2014
Dear Journal Office,

Thank you for your letter and for the referee’s comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Coexistence of Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage and Surgically Identified Pituitary Apoplexy: Case Report and Review of the Literature”. We have studied their comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with their approval. We are sending the revised manuscript according to the comments of the reviewers. Revised portion are underlined in red.
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Reviewer's report

Title: Coexistence of Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage and Surgically Identified Pituitary Apoplexy: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Version: 2 Date: 27 January 2014

Reviewer: Hernando Raphael Alvis Miranda

Which of the following best describes what type of case report

this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

My recommendations regard the manuscript are:
- Pictures nomenclature, please subcategorize the pictures as follows: Number, letter, (i.e., 1. A; 1.B…) instead of 1.1; 1.2.

- There are a few grammatical mistakes,
  o Abstract first line: …aN rupture…; correct the “N”.
  o Introduction, line 8: …aN rupture…; correct the “N”.
  o Conclusion, line 5: …if aN pituitary apoplexy…; correct the “N”.

The Pictures and grammatical mistakes have been changed as the reviewer indicates.

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I do not have any competing interest when interpreting data or presentation of information that could influence my personal or financial relationship with other people or organizations.
Reviewer's report

Title: Coexistence of Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage and Surgically Identified Pituitary Apoplexy: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Version: 2
Date: 6 Mar 2014
Reviewer: Kemel Ahmed Ghotme Ghotme

Which of the following best describes what type of case report

this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

It is certainly an unusual association, but data obtained from this case are
not strong enough to elicit the recommendations proposed in Conclusions.

Discussion is weak and should involve a more developed pathophysiological hypothesis according to the case and revised bibliography.

Simultaneous approach of both pathologies is agreeable and appropriate.

Pictures are relevant and of great quality.

Extensive edition is required.

The manuscript has been changed as the reviewer indicates and some references were added.

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

**Declaration of competing interests:**

I declare that I have no competing interests.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.
with best regards

Yours sincerely,

Xin-Gang Li