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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Presentations, diagnoses and/or management of new and emerging diseases

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

**Comments to authors:**

This is a well presented and interesting report on the repair of Grynfeltt hernia with a single incision laparoscopic approach.

The technique suggested by the authors is definitely unique. Nevertheless, a 3x3cm defect might have been a suitable indication for an open approach without significant differences in the length of the incision. This would have been cheaper and possibly faster. Maybe the authors could point out which indications according to literature might be more suitable with the suggested approach.

In the introduction section line 7 “minimal invasive surgery” should be “minimally invasive surgery”.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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