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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is a very rare presentation and may be worth reporting. But can be reported in a very short form as the only message is that pituitary mets can occur in RMS. Can consider presenting as a letter to editor. Review of literature and pathology of RMS and aRMS as seen in discussion of this paper is superfluous.

There is English language errors in grammar, syntax and spelling in almost every sentence. Work has be seen by a language editor before resubmission.

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited