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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This case report depicts a known situation of pregnancy in an unicornuate uterus and focus of the ability to deliver a term healthy baby.

Many English corrections are needed in every part of the manuscript, as some sentence cannot be understand in the way they are written.

The case report part should be rewritten in a chronological way.

The conclusion/discussion should be totally rebuilt in order to focus on the particular points of that case report:

Screening for uterine abnormalities was positive in that women and enabled to lead, after surgical removal of the rudimentary horn, to a term delivery:

1) Should we screen a particular group of women with gynecological symptoms? How could we screen them?
2) Is that kind of management mandatory for all women with rudimentary horn?
3) Do these women require particular pregnancy follow-up? cervical length measurement, prophylactic cerclage, vaginal progesterone...?

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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