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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Rare condition treated in a not specialized center.

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This is an interesting report on a rare case of a partial penile amputation that was reimplanted not using a microsurgical technique.

It is quite interesting that the results of this report contradict the literature, where early micro-anastomosis of the supplying vessels is considered essential for obtaining good anatomical, functional and aesthetic results.

Functional results of the procedure should be reported for a longer period than 5 weeks.
The notion that the corpus spongiosum plays a role in the blood supply of the penis should be further analyzed.
Syntax errors of the manuscript should be corrected.

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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