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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

Case report of a rare urological emergency

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: No

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

1. What was the interval till his first intercourse?
2. An IIEF questionnaire (before and after) or a triplex of the penile vessels would be very interesting to prove the erection.
3. The ligature technique and suture of the arteries were overseen.
4. After all it is a case report. More answers on the mechanism of the preservation of erectile function would be interesting, but could also be given in another paper. I understand there is a limit in texted words.
5. I have prepared a PDF with my proposals on the text results, that is

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published
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