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Reviewer: Ashley Cox

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Suggestions:
Case presentation:
-‘especially no’ change to ‘such as’
-CT abbreviation should be placed after the first ‘computer tomography’
-which lab tests were done?
-how big was the mass on CT?
-was a biopsy considered prior to nephrectomy? why or why not?
-what is the follow-up plan for this patient?
Discussion:
-what does the 'minor pelvis' refer to? or is this a typo?
delete 'Our patient was 55-year old and was complaining of right flank pain.'
-new paragraph should start at 'Angiomyelolipoma...''
-be consistent with acronym EAML

I think it would be beneficial to add comments about the role for biopsy and the appropriate follow-up, if any.

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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