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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: Yes

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

There is no strong evidence between the hypothesis and the conclusion. The two parts seem unrelated and the authors should try to find more evidence to prove the initial hypothesis. However the paper should be fully revised on a new basis. Besises that, the English are poor and the paper should be written again.

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited