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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

There is nothing new in the case report.

Has the case been reported coherently?: No

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: No

Is the case report persuasive?: No

Does the case report have explanatory value?: No

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: No

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

Case presentation

1) English needs to be correct – Macroscopic hematuria for last 6 months
2) On Physical examination should not mention anorexia & weight loss.
3) Prostate palpation should be in standard format – like consistency, nodules, tenderness...etc
4) Better is to call Laboratory investigations rather than biological examination.
5) Creatinine units should be standard
6) Patient followed – incorrect English
7) Better to mention hydronephrosis & hyderoureter rather than ureteropyelocalyceal dilatation.
8) What is full form of KB investigation in urine.

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited