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Dear Editor of the “JMCR”,

Thank you for sending your remarks and remarks of reviewers. Please find below itemized answers to requests of reviewers:

1- **The title was changed** according to the requirement of the editor such it follows the recommendation. The new title is as follows:

“Pseudo Tumoral Form of Tuberculous Urethritis, A case report”

2- **Answers to Reviewer 1:**

According to your request for reviewing the case

- The paper reported very rare pathology and contained many educational and scientific features allowing to manage similar cases. In addition, the case management has shown a positive outcome however it probably the first in the department were the patient was managed.
- The description of the case was almost complete and results of the investigation were conclusive.
- The discussion of the case was consistent and covers the features of the case.
- The authors have to add references of the last five years covering the KB localizations in the urogenital tracts.

We do thank the reviewer for his comments. Indeed, the reported case is the first managed in our department with positive outcome. We do hope through reporting this case to underline the combination of Pseudo Tumoral Urethritis and Tuberculosis.

3- **Several grammatical errors have to be corrected:**

**In abstract section**

1- The following sentence: “The differential diagnosis might be raised in tumoral cases while undertaking surgical excision is real option.”

Has to be changed by: “The differential diagnosis might be raised in tumoral cases while undertaking surgical excision that is real option.”

- **The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.**

2- The following sentences: “Hence, we report case isolated ureteric tuberculosis”

Has to be changed by: “Hence, we report case isolated ureteric tuberculosis”

- **The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.**

3- The following sentences: “and macroscopic few episodes of hematuria lasting…”

Has to be changed by: “and few macroscopic episodes of hematuria lasting…”

- **The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.**

4- The following sentences: “Hence, emblematic ureteral tumor was evoked. However, the clinical examination showed irritative voiding symptoms and epididymal disorders associated with prostate affection have suggested KB assessment in the urine which proved strongly positive results.”

Has to be changed by: “Hence, emblematic ureteral tumor was evoked. However, the clinical examination showed irritative voiding symptoms and epididymal disorders associated with prostate affection suggesting KB assessment in the urine which proved strongly positive results.”

- **The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.**
**In case presentation section**
1- The following sentences: “hs. The urogenital examination found a right epididymitis and normal size of prostate with slightly lost its supplenes”

Has to be changed by: “The urogenital examination found a right epididymitis and normal size of prostate that slightly lost its supplenes”

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

2- The unit of the sedimentation speed has to be specified.

**In discussion section**
1- The following sentences: “this could be confused with ureteral tumor in imaging resultas”

Has to be changed by: “this could be confused with ureteral tumor in imaging results.”

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

2- The following sentences: “However, the delay for obtaining the final results is long and might eight 8 weeks.”

Has to be changed by: “However, the delay for obtaining the final results is long and might last eight 8 weeks.”

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

**2- Answers to Reviewer 2:**

Case presentation
1) English needs to be correct Macroscopic hematuria for last 6 months.

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

2) On Physical examination should not mention anorexia & weight loss.

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

3) Prostate palpation should be in standard format like consistency, nodules, tendernessetc

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

4) Better is to call Laboratory investigations rather than biological examination.

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

5) Creatinine units should be standard.

- The Creatinine units has been changed to standard unit (66.2 µmol/L)

6) Patient followed incorrect English

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

7) Better to mention hydrenephrosis & hyderoureter rather than ureteropyelocalyceal dilatation.

- The requested change was achieved according to the reviewer recommendation.

8) What is full form of KB investigation in urine.

- The full form of KB in urine investigation was introduced.

9- Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Indeed, we have considered the remark of the reviewer and we have proceeded to extensive review of English. The review was done by native speaker scientist. We do hope that the corrected version of our manuscript do meet the English standard of the “Journal of Medical Case Report”.