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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors, the manuscript has been revised according to the reviewers’ suggestions. In particular:

Reviewer #1:
All the requested minor corrections have been made and are outlined in bold. There are indeed 3 composite figures, summing up to 6. They are numbered as Fig. 1a, Fig. 1b, etc.

Reviewer #2:
1. The manuscript has been edited as requested.
2. The text has been shortened considerably (crossed in the revised version), especially in the discussion.
3. The post-operative course has been detailed as requested. Clinical characteristics at admission were already elucidated in the text.
4. A reference mentioning the potential gastrointestinal side effects of Imatinib has been added (#14).
5. I was really tempted not to resubmit it.