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Cover letter – answer to comments

Dear editors,

our manuscript has been peer reviewed by two referees. We`ve read their comments to our case report.

Referee 1 (Peter Nthumba) acknowledged, that our case report is potentially noteworthy, but quality of English was not acceptable, so we edited it extensively with a native English speaker. We hope, that the paper will be easier to read. We are pleased to read that there is no missing information that must be added before publication.

Referee 2 (Emre Balik) confirmed, that our case report was well written and well described. He replied, that there are missing informations to be added before publication, but unfortunately, he didn’t mention the type of information. We tried our best to give all medical informations related to our case and to our patient without disrespecting patient’s anonymity.

We highlighted all text changes yellow, most of them were changes in syntax or grammar. We didn’t add further medical informations to our paper.

Finally, we hope, that we were able to improve the English quality level of our paper to satisfy all readers.