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RESPONSE TO EDITOR and REVIEWERS

Thank you very much for helpful comments on our paper entitled “Unrecognized bilateral temporomandibular joint dislocation after general anesthesia, a delay in diagnosis and management: a case report”. We believe the suggested corrections will improve the quality of our submission. We considered them all and incorporated corrections into our resubmitted paper.

The corrections are marked in red for easy review.

1. EDITOR:
   Editor's comment:
   I think that the abbreviation should be spelled out

Response to editor:
   a) We agree with the need for definition of abbreviations prior to their use and have corrected the paper accordingly.
   b) We included co-author e-mail address in title page
   c) We included the ethnicity of the patient in the Abstract and Case presentation
   d) We repositioned the Consent, Competing Interest and Author's Contribution section
   e) We included the Conclusion header
   f) We reformatted the Author contribution section
   g) We have no acknowledgements
   h) We included a list of abbreviations
   i) We removed the Figure legends in the Figure files

2. REVIEWER: Emad Daif

   Comments to authors:
   The abbreviations should be defined before their use in the text
   Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Response to reviewer: Thank you very much for your suggestions. The authors agree with the need for the use of abbreviations and have corrected the paper accordingly. We have further asked an English language reviewer to help us with language corrections and we hope we have addressed the main issues.

3. REVIEWER: Pedro Tanaka

   Comments to authors:
   Excellent case description alerting physicians about an uncommon event and its diagnosis.
   Quality of written English: Acceptable
**Declaration of competing interests:** None.

Response to reviewer: Thank you very much for your encouraging comments. We hope our resubmitted paper meets the high standards of the paper.