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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,
First of all, we would like to show our sincere appreciation for handling our manuscript. We are deeply impressed by the sincere comments and the careful revision of our manuscript. Please receive our sincere regards.

Here are our responses:

1. “Much more white fiber board was adhered to the surface of the right pulmonary tissues, the diaphragmatic muscle and the thoracic wall.” This sentence does not make sense. Please correct it
   Reply: We have deleted the sentence as it showed nearly no meaning to the manuscript.

2. “The water could be directly flow to the ostomy bag.” The sentence is grammatical incorrect, please correct it.
   Reply: We have revised the sentences as follows: The water could be directly flow to the ostomy bag.

3. “The patient was discharged 10 days later.” it would make more sense to have this sentence moved. Please place it after "... flow to the ostomy bag."
   Reply: Thank you for your kind suggestions, we have revised according to your comments accordingly. Please kindly refer to the manuscript for details.

4. “As esophageal rupture has been rarely reported, suitable attention is not given appropriately.” This sentence needs attention as I am not sure what you are trying to say to the reader.
   Reply: we want to illustrate that esophageal rupture has been rarely noticed, thus, few attention has been paid. According to your comments, we want to delete the sentence after careful thinking.
5. “but no case report has been carried out in adults.” Change to, ".. but no cases have been reported in adults."

Reply: We have revised our manuscript with your comments, please check them accordingly.

6. “As cervical esophagus was exposed during the initial operation” This sentence is grammatical incorrect, please correct it.

Reply: We want to mention that cervical esophagus was exposed during the initial operation, thus cardiac ligation was performed to prevent the upwards migration of hydrothorax. We have revised the sentence as follows: As exposure of cervical esophagus was noticed during the initial operation, cardiac ligation was performed to prevent the upwards migration of hydrothorax.

7. “To date, disputes still existed in the neck and chest anastomoses after esophageal resection.” This sentence does not make sense and needs to be corrected.

Reply: We have added the revision as follows: To date, there are still disputes about the esophagogastrastomy in neck or chest after esophageal resection.

8. “misdiagnosed” This was not a mis-diagnosis, the issue here is more that no one had considered that he ALSO had an oesophageal rupture. Please amend this sentence.

Reply: We have revised the sentence as follows: The patient was initially speculated with ocular disorder due to massive hemorrhage in the left eye.

9. “Explosion of automobile tire tube has been frequently confronted in our daily lives.” Is this sentence misleading? What are you trying to tell the reader with this sentence?

Reply: The sentence is a misleading, thus, we have deleted the sentence together with the following sentence “It has caused injuries to the facial and ocular tissues and even the esophagus”. Please kindly check them in the manuscript.

10. This figure needs a legend to explain what is being show.

Reply: We have added the legend in the section behind the Reference section.

Sincerely,
Jianqing Jiang