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**Comments to authors:**

1. Has the case been reported coherently?
   Yes
2. Is the case report authentic?
   Yes
3. Is the case report ethical?
   Yes
4. Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?
   Authors should describe details of TUR. They should describe the appearance of tumor in detail. These lesions are generally inramural with intact mucosa. How authors have done complete resection in such tumor? Usually biopsy is done in such situation. Authors should explain their decision to do TUR & technical details.
5. Is this case worth reporting?
   Yes
6. Is the case report persuasive?
   Yes
7. Does the case report have explanatory value?
   Yes
8. Does the case report have diagnostic value?
   Yes
9. Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?
   Yes
10. Is the anonymity of the patient protected?
    Yes