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Dear editors and reviewer:

Thank you for your re-review of our manuscript (Manuscript ID:1844533781878722). We appreciate the concerns and suggestions provided by the reviewer, and have revised our manuscript accordingly. Our point-by-point responses are provided below, and text that has been added or modified from the original text is shown in the revised manuscript with the changes coloured. Upon review of our revised manuscript, we hope that you will find it acceptable for publication in *Journal of Medical Case Reports* and we look forward to your response.

Sincerely yours,

Guodong Xu
Response to the reviewer’s comments:

Reviewer #1:

1. I have read the revised manuscript and it nows reads well and comes over as a credible account. I note that the patient only had 6 months of follow-up at the time of submitting this article following resection of the cardiac metastasis. It is Cardiothoracic surgical dogma that these tumours are incurable and that they always recur, usually within 1-2 years of the original cardiac operation. Cardiac transplantation has been carried out for this condition, but even that procedure is only palliative. As it stands, the article gives the impression that their patient might do well in the long term. It might be more helpful to include a statement about the poor long term prognosis in this group of patients.

Answer: We appreciate for your valuable comment and feel sorry for not clarifying this question. Although no evidence of the tumor recurred after sixth months of the surgery, treatment of all the metastatic cardiac tumors provides only palliation. These tumors always recur within 1-2 years after the original cardiac operation with extremely poor long term prognosis. Relevant contents were added to the revised manuscript with the changes coloured. Thank you for your valuable comments again.

Thank you and the reviewer for the kind advice.

Sincerely yours,

Guodong Xu