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Which of the following following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

very rare type of tumor of the urinary bladder

Has the case been reported coherently?: Yes

Is the case report authentic?: Yes

Is the case report ethical?: Yes

Is there any missing information that you think must be added before publication?: No

Is this case worth reporting?: Yes

Is the case report persuasive?: Yes

Does the case report have explanatory value?: Yes

Does the case report have diagnostic value?: Yes

Will the case report make a difference to clinical practice?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Comments to authors:

This paper is showing the very rare case of lymphoepithelioma like carcinoma with urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder. The paper is well-written with a clear manner. But, several issues as listed below should be clarified in detail.

1. Was second TUR-BT performed in this T1 case?
2. What does it mean by Uro CT?
3. The authors need to describe the comment of Figure A, B, C, and D in detail.
4. What present of tumor was pure UC in the specimen histologically?
5. What kind of lymphoid marker did the author use?

6. The authors need to clearly describe the clinical feature, therapeutic strategy according to the staging, and prognosis in this rare tumor from the previous literatures.

7. If the tumor is aggressive and BCG is thought to be effective, why did the authors treat the case by maintenance BCG therapy?